

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 STEPHANIE GORDON,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 CITY OF HENDERSON CITY HALL, et al.,

7 Defendants

Case No.: 2:19-cv-01045-APG-VCF


**Order Accepting Report and
Recommendation and Dismissing Case**

[ECF No. 7]

8 On October 3, 2019, Magistrate Judge Ferenbach recommended that I dismiss this case
9 without prejudice because plaintiff Stephanie Gordon did not file an amended complaint by the
10 September 12, 2019 deadline. Gordon did not file an objection. Thus, I am not obligated to
11 conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring
12 district courts to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified
13 proposed findings to which objection is made”); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114,
14 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“the district judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings
15 and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise” (emphasis in original)). I
16 nevertheless conducted a de novo review. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Judge Ferenbach sets forth the
17 proper legal analysis and factual basis for the decision.

18 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judge Ferenbach’s report and recommendation
19 **(ECF No. 7) is accepted.** Plaintiff Stephanie Gordon’s complaint is DISMISSED without
20 prejudice. The clerk of court is instructed to close this case.

21 DATED this 5th day of November, 2019.

22
23 
ANDREW P. GORDON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE